# **XML-RPC** Specification

Tue, Jun 15, 1999; by Dave Winer.

<u>Updated 6/30/03 DW</u>

<u>Updated 10/16/99 DW</u>

<u>Updated 1/21/99 DW</u>

This specification documents the XML-RPC protocol implemented in <u>UserLand Frontier</u> 5.1.

For a non-technical explanation, see **XML-RPC** for Newbies.

This page provides all the information that an implementor needs.

#### Overview

XML-RPC is a Remote Procedure Calling protocol that works over the Internet.

An XML-RPC message is an HTTP-POST request. The body of the request is in XML. A procedure executes on the server and the value it returns is also formatted in XML.

Procedure parameters can be scalars, numbers, strings, dates, etc.; and can also be complex record and list structures.

#### Request example

Here's an example of an XML-RPC request:

## Header requirements

The format of the URI in the first line of the header is not specified. For example, it could be empty, a single slash, if the server is only handling XML-RPC calls. However, if the server is handling a mix of incoming HTTP requests, we allow the URI to help route the request to the code that handles XML-RPC requests. (In the example, the URI is /RPC2, telling the server to route the request to the "RPC2" responder.)

A User-Agent and Host must be specified.

The Content-Type is text/xml.

The Content-Length must be specified and must be correct.

#### Payload format

The payload is in XML, a single <methodCall> structure.

The <methodCall> must contain a <methodName> sub-item, a string, containing the name of the method to be called. The string may only contain identifier characters, upper and lower-case A-Z, the numeric characters, 0-9, underscore, dot, colon and slash. It's entirely up to the server to decide how to interpret the characters in a methodName.

For example, the methodName could be the name of a file containing a script that executes on an incoming request. It could be the name of a cell in a database table. Or it could be a path to a file contained within a hierarchy of folders and files.

If the procedure call has parameters, the <methodCall> must contain a <params> sub-item. The <params> sub-item can contain any number of <param>s, each of which has a <value>.

#### Scalar <value>s

<value>s can be scalars, type is indicated by nesting the value inside one of the tags listed in this table:

Tag	Type	Example
<i4> or <int></int></i4>	four-byte signed integer	-12
 boolean>	0 (false) or 1 (true)	1
<string></string>	string	hello world
<double></double>	double-precision signed floating point number	-12.214
<datetime.iso8601></datetime.iso8601>	date/time	19980717T14:08:55
  base64>	base64-encoded binary	eW91IGNhbid0IHJIYWQgdGhpcyE=

If no type is indicated, the type is string.

#### <struct>s

A value can also be of type <struct>.

A <struct> contains <member>s and each <member> contains a <name> and a <value>.

Here's an example of a two-element <struct>:

```
<struct>
   <member>
        <name>lowerBound</name>
        <value><i4>18</i4></value>
        </member>
        <member>
        <name>upperBound</name>
        <value><i4>139</i4></value>
        </member>
        </member>
        </member>
        </method>
```

<struct>s can be recursive, any <value> may contain a <struct> or any other type, including an <array>, described below.

# <array>s

A value can also be of type <array>.

An <array> contains a single <data> element, which can contain any number of <value>s.

xmlrpc.scripting.com/spec.html 2/5

Here's an example of a four-element array:

<array> elements do not have names.

You can mix types as the example above illustrates.

<arrays>s can be recursive, any value may contain an <array> or any other type, including a <struct>, described above.

#### Response example

Here's an example of a response to an XML-RPC request:

## **Response format**

Unless there's a lower-level error, always return 200 OK.

The Content-Type is text/xml. Content-Length must be present and correct.

The body of the response is a single XML structure, a <methodResponse>, which can contain a single <param> which contains a single <value>.

The <methodResponse> could also contain a <fault> which contains a <value> which is a <struct> containing two elements, one named <faultCode>, an <int> and one named <faultString>, a <string>.

A <methodResponse> can not contain both a <fault> and a <params>.

# Fault example

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Connection: close
Content-Length: 426
Content-Type: text/xml
```

Date: Fri, 17 Jul 1998 19:55:02 GMT Server: UserLand Frontier/5.1.2-WinNT

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<methodResponse>
   <fault>
      <value>
         <struct>
            <member>
               <name>faultCode</name>
               <value><int>4</int></value>
               </member>
            <member>
               <name>faultString</name>
               <value><string>Too many parameters.</string></value>
            </struct>
         </value>
      </fault>
   </methodResponse>
```

#### Strategies/Goals

*Firewalls*. The goal of this protocol is to lay a compatible foundation across different environments, no new power is provided beyond the capabilities of the CGI interface. Firewall software can watch for POSTs whose Content-Type is text/xml.

*Discoverability.* We wanted a clean, extensible format that's very simple. It should be possible for an HTML coder to be able to look at a file containing an XML-RPC procedure call, understand what it's doing, and be able to modify it and have it work on the first or second try.

*Easy to implement.* We also wanted it to be an easy to implement protocol that could quickly be adapted to run in other environments or on other operating systems.

## **Updated 1/21/99 DW**

The following questions came up on the UserLand <u>discussion group</u> as XML-RPC was being implemented in Python.

• The Response Format section says "The body of the response is a single XML structure, a <methodResponse>, which *can* contain a single <params>..." This is confusing. Can we leave out the <params>?

• Is "boolean" a distinct data type, or can boolean values be interchanged with integers (e.g. zero=false, non-zero=true)?

Yes, boolean is a distinct data type. Some languages/environments allow for an easy coercion from zero to false and one to true, but if you mean true, send a boolean type with the value true, so your intent can't possibly be misunderstood.

• What is the legal syntax (and range) for integers? How to deal with leading zeros? Is a leading plus sign allowed? How to deal with whitespace?

An integer is a 32-bit signed number. You can include a plus or minus at the beginning of a string of numeric characters. Leading zeros are collapsed. Whitespace is not permitted. Just numeric characters preceded by a plus or minus.

• What is the legal syntax (and range) for floating point values (doubles)? How is the exponent represented? How to deal with whitespace? Can infinity and "not a number" be represented?

There is no representation for infinity or negative infinity or "not a number". At this time, only decimal point notation is allowed, a plus or a minus, followed by any number of numeric characters, followed by a period and any number of numeric characters. Whitespace is not allowed. The range of allowable values is implementation-dependent, is not specified.

• What characters are allowed in strings? Non-printable characters? Null characters? Can a "string" be used to hold an arbitrary chunk of binary data?

Any characters are allowed in a string except < and &, which are encoded as &lt; and &amp;. A string can be used to encode binary data.

• Does the "struct" element keep the order of keys. Or in other words, is the struct "foo=1, bar=2" equivalent to "bar=2, foo=1" or not?

The struct element does not preserve the order of the keys. The two structs are equivalent.

• Can the <fault> struct contain other members than <faultCode> and <faultString>? Is there a global list of faultCodes? (so they can be mapped to distinct exceptions for languages like Python and Java)?

A <fault> struct **may not** contain members other than those specified. This is true for all other structures. We believe the specification is flexible enough so that all reasonable data-transfer needs can be accommodated within the specified structures. If you believe strongly that this is not true, please post a message on the discussion group.

There is no global list of fault codes. It is up to the server implementer, or higher-level standards to specify fault codes.

• What timezone should be assumed for the dateTime.iso8601 type? UTC? localtime?

Don't assume a timezone. It should be specified by the server in its documentation what assumptions it makes about timezones.

#### Additions

• <base64> type. 1/21/99 DW.

### Updated 6/30/03 DW

Removed "ASCII" from definition of string.

Changed copyright dates, below, to 1999-2003 from 1998-99.

# Copyright and disclaimer

© Copyright 1998-2003 UserLand Software. All Rights Reserved.